

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/761,820	MALHOTRA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Holly Rickman	1773	

All Participants:

(1) Holly Rickman.

(2) Ken Leeds.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 5 December 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

all pending

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**HOLLY RICKMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that rejections of record had been overcome. However, the examiner indicated that newly added method claims did not appear to be clearly supported by original disclosure. Examiner suggested that limitation of claim 39 requiring a depositing step "being accomplished without deliberately adding boron" be deleted to put claim in condition for allowance. Exr also suggested either cancellation of claims 40-41 or amended to include specific materials supported by the specification at paragraph 20. Applicant agreed to an examiner's amendment in accordance with examiner's suggestions to put case in condition for allowance.